

Whiteboard Lecture

Longitudinal Data Analysis:

Whiteboard Interlude IV

The random intercept model is

$$y_{ij} = U_i + \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

$$U_i \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_U^2), \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

and the U_i and ε_{ij} are independent of each other.

It follows that the distribution of y_{ij} is

$$y_{ij} \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij}, \sigma_U^2 x_{ij}^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2).$$

But since the y_{ij} 's are correlated, we can't just take the product of the individual densities to get the likelihood.

Proper likelihood calculations get very messy if we don't use **matrices**.

Let $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$,

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ y_{1n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ y_{2n_2} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ y_{m1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ y_{mn_m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & x_{1n_1} \\ 1 & x_{21} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & x_{2n_2} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & x_{m1} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & x_{mn_m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ U_m \end{bmatrix}$$

and let \mathbf{Z} be the $n \times m$ matrix:

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this \mathbf{Z} matrix, the first column of ones is of length n_1 , the second of length n_2 , and so on.

Then the random intercept model can be written in matrix notation as:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

This is the general form of the **linear mixed model**.

Using results for multivariate means and covariance matrices we get

$$\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{V})$$

where

$$\mathbf{V} = \sigma_U^2 \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^T + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{I}.$$

Hence, the likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\mathbf{V}|^{-1/2} \\ &\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &\quad - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiation with respect to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ leads to the maximum likelihood estimators:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{ML}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

However,

$$\mathbf{V} = \sigma_U^2 \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^T + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{I}$$

still depends on the unknown

variance components σ_U^2 and σ_ε^2 .

Substitution of the above solution for β into the log-likelihood expression leads to the **profile**

likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_P(\sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) = & -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} [\log |\mathbf{V}| \\ & + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \} \mathbf{y}]. \end{aligned}$$

Maximum likelihood estimation of σ_U^2 and σ_ε^2 involves maximising $\ell_P(\sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ over $(\sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$.

There is no closed form solution, so it has to be done numerically.

However, the default method used by mixed model packages for variance component estimation is **restricted maximum likelihood** – commonly known by its acronym **REML**.

REML estimation involves maximisation of the **restricted** log-likelihood:

$$\ell_R(\sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) = \ell_P(\sigma_U^2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}|.$$

The justification for the additional term is based on “contrast” arguments that account for estimation of the fixed effects vector β .

The 2000 Wiley book by McCulloch and Searle has the details.

