

Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs

ALEX KUMJIAN

*Department of Mathematics (084), University of Nevada,
Reno, NV 89557-0045, USA.*

AND

DAVID PASK, IAIN RAEBURN

*Department of Mathematics, The University of Newcastle,
NSW 2308, Australia.*

February 1998

Abstract

We associate to each row-finite directed graph E a universal Cuntz-Krieger C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$, and study how the distribution of loops in E affects the structure of $C^*(E)$. We prove that $C^*(E)$ is AF if and only if E has no loops. We describe an exit condition (L) on loops in E under which allows us to prove an analogue the Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem and give a characterisation of when $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite. If the graph E satisfies (L) and is cofinal, then we have a dichotomy: if E has no loops, then $C^*(E)$ is AF; if E has a loop, then $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite.

If A is an $n \times n$ $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix, a *Cuntz-Krieger A -family* consists of n partial isometries S_i on Hilbert space satisfying

$$S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i, j) S_j S_j^*. \quad (1)$$

Cuntz and Krieger proved that, provided A satisfies a fullness condition (I) and the partial isometries are all nonzero, two such families generate isomorphic C^* -algebras; thus the *Cuntz-Krieger algebra* \mathcal{O}_A can be well-defined as the C^* -algebra generated by any such family $\{S_i\}$ [5]. Subsequently, for A

⁰1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L35, secondary 22A22

satisfying a stronger condition (II), Cuntz analysed the ideal theory of \mathcal{O}_A [4]. The relations (1) make sense for infinite matrices A , provided the rows of A contain only finitely many 1's; under a condition (K) analogous to (II), the Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem and Cuntz's description of the ideals in \mathcal{O}_A carry over [6].

In [6], A arose as the connectivity matrix of a directed graph E , and \mathcal{O}_A was realised as the C^* -algebra of a locally compact groupoid \mathcal{G}_E with unit space the infinite path space of the graph E . The condition (K) has a natural graph-theoretic interpretation, and the main theorem of [6] relates the loop structure in E to the ideal structure of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$. From this point of view, it is natural to ask if there is a graph-theoretic analogue of the original condition (I) which allows one to extend the uniqueness theorem of [5] to infinite matrices and graphs.

Here we shall discuss such a condition (L): a graph E satisfies (L) if all loops in E have exits. It is important to realise that in an infinite graph E , there can be very few loops, and thus condition (L) may be trivially satisfied. We shall show that if E satisfies (L) and a cofinality hypothesis, then $C^*(E)$ is simple and there is a dichotomy: if E has no loops, $C^*(E)$ is AF, whereas if E has a loop, $C^*(E) = C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ is purely infinite.

We begin with our analysis of the case where E has no loops. To prove that $C^*(E)$ is AF requires approximating E by finite subgraphs; these subgraphs may have sinks (vertices which emit no edges), and hence do not belong to the class studied in [6]. We therefore introduce a slightly different notion of Cuntz-Krieger E -family, which involves projections parametrised by the vertices as well as partial isometries parametrised by the edges, and a C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$ which is universal for such families (Theorem 1.2). We then prove that $C^*(E)$ is AF if and only if E has no loops (Theorem 2.4).

When E has no sinks, the results of [6] show that $C^*(E) = C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$, and we can therefore use groupoid techniques to analyse $C^*(E)$. Our main contribution here is the introduction of the condition (L), which we show is a good analogue for infinite graphs of the condition (I) of [5]. In particular, we prove a version of the Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem for graphs E satisfying (L) (Theorem 3.7). We then prove that $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite if and only if E satisfies (L) and every vertex of E connects to a loop (Theorem 3.9); from this, our dichotomy follows easily.

1 The universal C^* -algebra of a graph

A *directed graph* E consists of countable sets E^0 of vertices and E^1 of edges, and maps $r, s : E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ describing the range and source of edges. The graph E is *row-finite* if for every $v \in E^0$, the set $s^{-1}(v) \subseteq E^1$ is finite; if in addition $r^{-1}(v)$ is finite for all $v \in E^0$, then E is *locally finite*. For $n \geq 2$, we define

$$E^n := \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_i \in E^1 \text{ and } r(\alpha_i) = s(\alpha_{i+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\},$$

and $E^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} E^n$. For $\alpha \in E^n$, we write $|\alpha| := n$. The maps r, s extend naturally to E^* ; for $v \in E^0$, we define $r(v) = s(v) = v$. The infinite path space is

$$E^\infty = \{(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^\infty : \alpha_i \in E^1 \text{ and } r(\alpha_i) = s(\alpha_{i+1}) \text{ for } i \geq 1\}.$$

A vertex $v \in E^0$ which emits no edges is called a *sink*.

If E is a row finite directed graph, a *Cuntz-Krieger E -family* consists of a set $\{P_v : v \in E^0\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections and a set $\{S_e : e \in E^1\}$ of partial isometries satisfying

$$S_e^* S_e = P_{r(e)} \text{ for } e \in E^1, \text{ and } P_v = \sum_{\{e : s(e)=v\}} S_e S_e^* \text{ for } v \in s(E^1). \quad (2)$$

The *edge matrix* of E is the $E^1 \times E^1$ matrix defined by

$$A_E(e, f) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(e) = s(f) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{P_v, S_e\}$ satisfies

$$S_e^* S_e = \sum_{\{f : s(f)=r(e)\}} S_f S_f^* = \sum_{f \in E} A_E(e, f) S_f S_f^*$$

for every e such that $A_E(e, \cdot)$ has nonzero entries. Thus if E has no sinks, $\{S_e : e \in E^1\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger A_E -family in the sense of (1). (We warn that the projections $\{P_v\}$ are the *initial* projections of the partial isometries S_e with $r(e) = v$, and not the range projections as in [5].) The point of our new definition is that the projection P_v can be nonzero even if there are no edges coming out of v .

Not every $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix is the edge matrix of a directed graph, but for any $V \times V$ matrix B with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, we can construct a graph E with vertex set $E^0 = V$ by joining v to w iff $B(v, w) = 1$, and then there is a natural bijection between Cuntz–Krieger B -families associated to B and those associated to the corresponding edge matrix A_E [8, Proposition 4.1].

If E is a directed graph and $\{P_v, S_e\}$ is a Cuntz–Krieger E -family, then $S_e S_f \neq 0$ only if $r(e) = s(f)$; if each S_e is non-zero, so is $S_e S_f$. More generally, if $\alpha \in E^n$, then $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\alpha_n}$ is a nonzero partial isometry with $S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = P_{r(\alpha)}$ and $S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \leq P_{s(\alpha)}$. ($S_v := P_v$ for $v \in E^0$).

1.1 Lemma: *Let $\{S_e, P_v\}$ be a Cuntz–Krieger E -family, and $\beta, \gamma \in E^*$. Then*

$$S_\beta^* S_\gamma = \begin{cases} S_{\gamma'} & \text{if } \gamma = \beta\gamma', \gamma' \notin E^0 \\ P_{r(\gamma)} & \text{if } \gamma = \beta \\ S_{\beta'}^* & \text{if } \beta = \gamma\beta', \beta' \notin E^0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Moreover, every non-zero word in S_e, P_v and S_f^* is a partial isometry of the form $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in E^*$ with $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$.

Proof: If β or $\gamma \in E^0$, then (3) is an easy calculation. Now for $e, f \in E^1$ we have $S_e^* S_f = 0$ unless $e = f$, so

$$S_e^* S_\gamma = \delta_{e, \gamma_1} S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_1} S_{\gamma_2} \dots S_{\gamma_n};$$

because $r(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$, we have $S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_1} \geq S_{\gamma_2} S_{\gamma_2}^*$, and

$$S_e^* S_\gamma = \delta_{e, \gamma_1} S_{\gamma_2} S_{\gamma_2}^* S_{\gamma_2} \dots S_{\gamma_n} = \delta_{e, \gamma_1} S_{\gamma_2} \dots S_{\gamma_n}. \quad (4)$$

Repeated calculations of the form (4) show that $S_\beta^* S_\gamma = 0$ unless either γ extends β or β extends γ ; suppose for the sake of argument that $\gamma = \beta\gamma'$ extends β . Then

$$S_\beta^* S_\beta S_{\gamma'} = S_{\beta|\beta}^* S_{\beta|\beta} S_{\gamma'} = P_{r(\beta)} S_{\gamma'} = S_{\gamma'}$$

as required.

Since $S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = P_{r(\alpha)}$, S_α is a partial isometry with initial projection $P_{r(\alpha)}$. Thus S_β^* is a partial isometry with range space $P_{r(\beta)}$, and we can deduce from the orthogonality of the P_v that $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ is a nonzero partial isometry only if $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Repeated applications of (3) in various cases then gives us the desired result. \square

1.2 Theorem: *Let E be a directed graph. Then there is a C^* -algebra B generated by a Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{s_e, p_v\}$ of non-zero elements such that, for every Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{S_e, P_v\}$ of partial isometries on \mathcal{H} , there is a representation π of B on \mathcal{H} such that $\pi(s_e) = S_e$ and $\pi(p_v) = P_v$ for all $e \in E^1, v \in E^0$.*

Proof: We only give an outline here, as the argument closely follows that of [3, Theorem 2.1]. Let $S_E = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha, \beta \in E^* \text{ and } r(\alpha) = r(\beta)\}$, and let k_E be the space of functions of finite support on S_E . The set of point masses $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in S_E\}$ forms a basis for k_E . By thinking of $e_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ as $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ and using the formulas in (3), we can define an associative multiplication and involution on k_E such that k_E is a $*$ -algebra.

As a $*$ -algebra, k_E is generated by $q_v := e_{(v, v)}$ and $t_e := e_{(e, r(e))}$: indeed, $e_{(\alpha, \beta)} = t_\alpha t_\beta^*$. The elements q_v are orthogonal projections such that $q_v \geq \sum_{\{e: s(e)=v\}} t_e t_e^*$. If we mod out the ideal J generated by the elements $q_v - \sum_{\{e: s(e)=v\}} t_e t_e^*$ for $v \in E^0$, then the images r_v of q_v and u_e of t_e in k_E/J form a Cuntz-Krieger E -family which generates k_E/J . The triple $(k_E/J, q_v, u_e)$ then has the required universal property, though k_E/J is not a C^* -algebra. However, a standard argument shows that

$$\|a\|_0 := \sup\{\|\pi(a)\| : \pi \text{ is a non-degenerate } * \text{-representation of } k_E/J\}$$

is a well-defined, bounded seminorm on k_E/J . The completion B of

$$(k_E/J)/\{b \in k_E/J : \|b\|_0 = 0\}$$

is a C^* -algebra with the same representation theory as k_E/J . Thus if p_v and s_e are the images of r_v and u_e in B , then (B, p_v, s_e) has all the required properties.

There is a Cuntz-Krieger E -family in which each P_v and S_e is non-zero: for each vertex take an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_v , decompose it into orthogonal infinite-dimensional subspaces \mathcal{H}_e corresponding to the edges e with source v , choose isometries S_e of each $\mathcal{H}_{r(e)}$ onto the subspaces \mathcal{H}_e , and set $\mathcal{H} = \oplus \mathcal{H}_v$. Thus each p_v and each s_e in $C^*(E)$ must be nonzero. \square

1.3 Remark: The triple (B, p_v, s_e) is unique up to isomorphism, and hence we write $C^*(E)$ for B . If E has no sinks, then the projections p_v are redundant, and the Cuntz-Krieger E -families are the Cuntz-Krieger families for the edge matrix A_E . Thus [6, Theorem 4.2] implies that $C^*(E)$ has a groupoid model: $(C^*(E), s_e) = (C^*(\mathcal{G}_E), 1_{Z(e, r(e))})$.

1.4 Proposition: *The C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$ is unital if and only if E^0 is finite.*

Proof: If E^0 is finite, $\sum_{v \in E^0} p_v$ is a unit for $C^*(E)$. If $E^0 = \{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, then $q_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{v_i}$ is a strictly increasing approximate unit for $C^*(E)$. If $C^*(E)$ has a unit 1, then $q_n \rightarrow 1$ in norm, which forces $q_n = 1$ for large n ; since $q_n p_{v_{n+1}} = 0$, this is impossible. \square

2 Directed graphs with no loops

Let E be a directed graph. A path $\alpha \in E^*$ with $|\alpha| > 0$ is a *loop based at v* , or a *return path for v* , if $s(\alpha) = r(\alpha) = v$; the loop is *simple* if the vertices $\{r(\alpha_i) : 1 \leq i \leq |\alpha|\}$ are distinct.

2.1 Proposition: *Suppose H is a subgraph of E with no exits (i.e. $e \in E^1, s(e) \in H^0$ imply $e \in H^1$). Then*

$$I := \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in E^*, r(\alpha) = r(\beta) \in H^0\}$$

is an ideal of $C^(E)$ which is Morita equivalent to $B := \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in H^*\}$.*

Proof: Because H has no exits, $r(\alpha) \in H^0$ and $\alpha\gamma' \in E^*$ imply $r(\gamma') \in H^0$. It therefore follows from (3) that when $r(\alpha) \in H^0$, every product $(s_\alpha s_\beta^*)(s_\gamma s_\delta^*)$ is either 0 or has the form $s_\mu s_\nu^*$ where $r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in H^0$. Thus I is indeed an ideal. The same argument shows that

$$X := \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : \alpha \in H^*, \beta \in E^* \text{ and } r(\alpha) = r(\beta) \in H^0\}$$

is a right ideal in $C^*(E)$, which satisfies $XX^* = B$ and $X^*X = I$. \square

2.2 Corollary: *If v is a sink, then $I_v := \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in E^*, r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = v\}$ is a closed two-sided ideal in $C^*(E)$; I_v is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(E^*(v)))$, where $E^*(v) := \{\alpha \in E^* : r(\alpha) = v\}$ (which is non-empty, because $v \in E^*(v)$).*

Proof: If $r(\alpha) = v$, there are no paths of the form $\alpha\gamma'$, so the formulas (3) show that

$$(s_\alpha s_\beta^*)(s_\gamma s_\delta^*) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{unless } \beta = \gamma, \\ s_\alpha s_\delta^* & \text{if } \beta = \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = v\}$ is a family of matrix units, and $I_v \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(E^*(v)))$. \square

2.3 Corollary: Suppose E is a finite graph with no loops, v_1, \dots, v_k are the sinks, and $n(v_i) := \#\{\alpha \in E^* : r(\alpha) = v_i\}$. Then

$$C^*(E) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_{v_i} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{n(v_i)}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Proof: Let $s_\alpha s_\beta^* \in C^*(E)$. If $r(\alpha) \neq v_i$ for some i , then $r(\alpha)$ is not a sink. Thus

$$s_\alpha s_\beta^* = \sum_{\{e:s(e)=r(\alpha)\}} s_\alpha s_e s_e^* s_\beta^*.$$

Since the graph is finite, and there are no loops, repeating this process must eventually realise $s_\alpha s_\beta^*$ as a finite sum of terms of the form $s_\alpha \gamma s_\beta^*$ where $r(\gamma) = v_i$ for some i . Thus the ideals I_{v_i} span $C^*(E)$.

On the other hand, suppose $r(\alpha) = v_i = r(\beta)$ and $r(\gamma) = v_j = r(\delta)$. Then the absence of paths of the form $\beta\gamma'$ and $\gamma\beta'$ implies that $(s_\alpha s_\beta^*)(s_\gamma s_\delta^*) = 0$ unless $v_i = v_j$. Thus $I_{v_i} I_{v_j} = 0$ unless $i = j$, and we have a direct sum decomposition. For each i , there are $n(v_i)$ distinct paths α with $r(\alpha) = v_i$; thus by 2.2 we have $I_{v_i} \cong M_{n(v_i)}(\mathbf{C})$. \square

2.4 Theorem: A directed graph E has no loops if and only if $C^*(E)$ is an AF algebra.

Proof: First suppose that E has no loops. We have to prove that every finite set of elements of $C^*(E)$ can be approximated by elements lying in a finite-dimensional subalgebra. Since the elements $s_\alpha s_\beta^*$ span a dense subspace of $C^*(E)$, it is enough to show that each finite set of such elements lies in a finite-dimensional subalgebra. So suppose F is a finite set of pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in E^* \times E^*$ satisfying $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Let G be the finite subgraph of E consisting of all edges e occurring in the paths $\{\alpha, \beta : (\alpha, \beta) \in F\}$, and all their vertices $r(e)$, $s(e)$. Let H be the subgraph obtained by adding to G all edges f such that

$s(f) = s(e)$ for some $e \in G^1$, and the ranges of such edges. Since each vertex emits only finitely many edges, H is also a finite subgraph of E . For each vertex $v \in H^0$, either all edges $e \in E^1$ with $s(e) = v$ lie in H^1 , or none do; thus for those with $\{e \in H^1 : s(e) = v\} \neq \emptyset$, the Cuntz-Krieger relation

$$p_v = \sum_{\{e \in H^1 : s(e) = v\}} s_e s_e^*$$

follows from the corresponding relation in $C^*(E)$. Thus $\{p_v, s_e : v, e \in H\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger family for the graph H , and the universal property of $C^*(H)$ gives a homomorphism of $C^*(H)$ onto the subalgebra of $C^*(E)$ generated by $\{p_v, s_e : v, e \in H\}$. Since E has no loops, neither does H , and Corollary 2.3 implies that $C^*(H)$ and its image in $C^*(E)$ are finite dimensional. Since each $s_\alpha s_\beta^*$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in F$ lies in this image, this proves that $C^*(E)$ is AF.

Next, suppose that E has a loop α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Then either α has an exit or it does not. If α has an exit, then without loss of generality we may assume that this occurs at $v = s(\alpha)$. If $f \neq \alpha_1$ satisfies $s(f) = v$, then because the ranges of the partial isometries s_f and s_{α_1} are orthogonal, we have

$$p_v = s_\alpha^* s_\alpha \sim s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \leq s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_1}^* < s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_1}^* + s_f s_f^* \leq p_v,$$

and so p_v is an infinite projection. A projection in an AF algebra is equivalent to one in a finite-dimensional subalgebra, and hence cannot be infinite; thus $C^*(E)$ cannot be AF.

If α does not have any exits, we may as well assume that α is a simple loop. Let $v = s(\alpha)$. Then by 2.1

$$I_v = \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\gamma s_\delta^* : \gamma, \delta \in E^*, r(\gamma) = r(\delta) = v\}$$

is a two-sided ideal in $C^*(E)$. If

$$B_\alpha = \overline{\text{span}} \{s_\gamma s_\delta^* : \gamma, \delta \in E^*, r(\gamma) = s(\gamma) = s(\delta) = v\},$$

then an argument similar to the proof of 2.1 shows that B_α is Morita equivalent to I_v . We claim that B_α is generated by a unitary with full spectrum. Since $s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = s_\alpha^* s_\alpha = p_v = 1_{B_\alpha}$, s_α is unitary in B_α . Moreover, if $x = s_\gamma s_\delta^* \in B_\alpha$, then $\gamma = \alpha^n$, $\delta = \alpha^m$ for some n, m , and $x = (s_\alpha)^{n-m}$; thus s_α generates B_α .

To see that s_α has full spectrum, let $J = \{(\gamma, \delta) : s(\delta) = r(\delta) = v\} \subseteq k_E$, and $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(J)$, with orthonormal basis $\{e_{(\gamma, \delta)}\}$. For $f \in E^1$ and $v \in E^0$

define $S_f, P_v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$S_f e_{(\gamma, \delta)} = \begin{cases} e_{(f\gamma, \delta)} & \text{if } r(f) = s(\gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad P_v e_{(\gamma, \delta)} = \begin{cases} e_{(\gamma, \delta)} & \text{if } v = s(\gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\{S_f, P_v\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E -family on \mathcal{H} . Because $e_{(\gamma\alpha, \delta\alpha)} = e_{(\gamma, \delta)}$, $\mathcal{H}_\alpha := S_\alpha \mathcal{H}$ is spanned by $\{e_{(\alpha^n, v)}, e_{(v, \alpha^n)} : n \geq 0\}$, where $\alpha^0 := v$. Since

$$S_\alpha e_{(\alpha^n, v)} = e_{(\alpha^{n+1}, v)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad S_\alpha e_{(v, \alpha^n)} = e_{(v, \alpha^{n-1})} \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

the action of S_α on \mathcal{H}_α is conjugate to the shift on $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$, and hence has full spectrum.

We have now shown that $C^*(E)$ has an ideal which is Morita equivalent to an algebra $B_\alpha = C^*(s_\alpha) \cong C(\mathbf{T})$ which is not AF, and so $C^*(E)$ cannot be AF. \square

2.5 Remarks: (1) Although it was not necessary for the above argument, the homomorphism π of $C^*(H)$ into $C^*(E)$ is always injective. To see this, just note that by 1.2 each projection p_v is nonzero, and hence none of the ideals I_v can be in the kernel of π . Since each ideal is simple, and $C^*(H)$ is the direct sum of such ideals, we deduce that $\ker \pi = \{0\}$, as claimed.

This observation means that, by arbitrarily increasing the set F of allowable pairs (α, β) , we can obtain a specific description of $C^*(E)$ as an increasing union of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras of the form $C^*(H)$.

(2) The representation constructed at the end of the proof can be viewed as a representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ induced from a 1-dimensional representation of $C_0(E^\infty)$: let $x = \alpha\alpha \dots \in E^\infty$, take $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(s^{-1}(x))$ and let \mathcal{G}_E act on \mathcal{H} by multiplication.

3 Directed graphs with sufficiently many loops

In this section E will be a locally finite graph with no sinks, and \mathcal{G}_E such that $C^*(E) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ (see Remark 1.3). We aim to show using the ideas of [2, §2] that, if E has enough loops, then $C^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ is purely infinite: the graph E satisfies our analogue (L) of Cuntz and Krieger's condition (I) precisely when the groupoid \mathcal{G}_E is essentially free in the sense of [2, Definitions 1.1.2].

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact groupoid \mathcal{G} with range and source maps r, s and unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. The *isotropy group* of $u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is the set $\mathcal{G}(u) = r^{-1}(u) \cap$

$s^{-1}(u) \subset \mathcal{G}$, which turns out to be a group. As in [2], we say \mathcal{G} is *essentially free* if the set of points with trivial isotropy is dense in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. (Warning: this need not be the same as the definition in [11] if the groupoid is not minimal, but is consistent with the definitions of [2, 7].) A subset B of \mathcal{G} is a *bisection* (or \mathcal{G} -set in [10, Definition I.1.10]) if r and s are one-to-one on B ; if \mathcal{G} is r -discrete, then \mathcal{G} has a basis of open bisections. For an open bisection B of an r -discrete groupoid, the map $\alpha_B : x \mapsto s(xB)$ is a homeomorphism of $r(B)$ onto $s(B)$.

3.1 Example: For $\alpha, \beta \in E^*$ with $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$, the set $B := Z(\alpha, \beta)$ is a bisection of \mathcal{G}_E , with $\alpha_B : Z(\alpha) \rightarrow Z(\beta)$ given by $\alpha_B(\alpha z) = \beta z$ (see [6, Proposition 2.6]).

3.2 Lemma: A unit $x \in E^\infty = \mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$ has non-trivial isotropy if and only if x is eventually periodic.

Proof: Just note that x is eventually periodic with period k iff $(x, k, x) \in \mathcal{G}_E$. \square

Recall from [6] that the directed graph E is *cofinal* if for every $x \in E^\infty$ and $v \in E^0$, there exists $\alpha \in E^*$ with $s(\alpha) = v$ and $r(\alpha) = s(x_n)$ for some n . Let V_0 be the set of vertices in E^0 with no return paths, V_1 the set of vertices with precisely one simple return path, and $V_2 := E^0 \setminus (V_0 \cup V_1)$. The graph E satisfies Condition (I) if every vertex v connects to a vertex in V_2 ; we shall say that E satisfies Condition (L) if every loop has an exit.

3.3 Lemma: Let E be a directed graph. If E^0 is finite, then (L) is equivalent to (I). If E^0 is infinite, then (L) is weaker than (I).

Proof: Suppose that E^0 is finite and that E satisfies (L). Let $v \in E^0$. Since every path $x \in E^\infty$ starting at v must pass through some vertex infinitely often, v connects to a loop. By hypothesis every loop has an exit, so v connects via a finite path β to a vertex w with a return path α which has an exit at w . Let $f \in E^1$ satisfy $s(f) = w$ but $f \neq \alpha_1$. Let $v' = r(f)$, and consider any infinite path x' starting at v' . If x' visits any vertex on the paths α, β , then w has two distinct return paths, and E satisfies (I). If x' does not visit any vertex on α or β , then we are back in the original situation with v' instead of v but with fewer vertices to choose from. Since the number of vertices is finite this process must terminate, and hence E satisfies (I).

Now suppose that E satisfies (I). If $\alpha \in E^*$ is a loop without an exit, then $w = r(\alpha_1)$ connects only to vertices in V_1 , which contradicts (I). Hence every loop has an exit, and E satisfies (L). To see that (L) is weaker, note that the directed graph



trivially satisfies (L), but does not satisfy (I). \square

3.4 Lemma: *The groupoid \mathcal{G}_E is essentially free if and only if E satisfies (L).*

Proof: Suppose that E satisfies (L). By [6, Corollary 2.2] the cylinder sets $Z(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in E^*$ form a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$. By 3.2, it is enough to show that every such set contains an aperiodic path, and hence enough to show that every vertex $v \in E^0$ is the source of an aperiodic path. If v connects via $\alpha \in E^*$ to a vertex $w \in V_2$, then the technique of [6, Proposition 6.3] gives a path x which is aperiodic and hence has no isotropy. Hence we may assume that v does not connect to V_2 .

We now construct a path starting at v which does not pass through the same vertex twice. For v and every vertex w reachable from v , we associate an edge $\gamma(w)$ which does not form part of a loop wherever this is possible. Specifically, if $w \in V_0$, choose for $\gamma(w)$ any edge e with $s(e) = w$; if $w \in V_1$, and w emits two edges $e, f \in E^1$, then choose for $\gamma(w)$ an edge f which is not on the return path for w ; if w emits only one edge e , choose $\gamma(w) = e$. Now define $x \in E^\infty$ recursively by setting $x_1 := \gamma(v)$, and $x_i := \gamma(r(x_{i-1}))$ for $i \geq 2$. To see that x does not pass through the same vertex twice, suppose there is a vertex w such that $s(x_n) = w = r(x_m)$ for some $m \geq n$. Then every vertex u on $\alpha := (x_n, \dots, x_m)$ is in V_1 , and if there were an exit from u , it would have been taken. Hence the return path α for w has no exits, which contradicts the premise that E satisfies (L). In particular, we deduce that x is an aperiodic path starting at v .

Now suppose that E does not satisfy condition (L), so there is a vertex $v \in E^0$ and a return path $\alpha \in E^*$ for v without an exit. Then the only path starting at v is $x = \alpha\alpha\dots$, so $Z(\alpha) = \{x\}$, and x has isotropy group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . Thus there is an open set of elements with non-trivial isotropy, and \mathcal{G}_E is not essentially free. \square

To justify our claimed analogy between conditions (L) and (I), we prove a uniqueness theorem for $C^*(E)$ along the lines of [5, Theorem 2.13]. For this, we need the following adaptation of [2, Proposition 2.4]:

3.5 Lemma: *Let \mathcal{G} be an r -discrete essentially free groupoid such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ has a base of compact open sets, and H a hereditary subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. Then there is a non-zero partial isometry $v \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ such that $v^*v \in H$ and $vv^* \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$.*

Proof: It is enough to do this when H is the hereditary subalgebra generated by a single positive element a . By rescaling, we can assume that $\|P(a)\| = 1$, where $P : C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ is the faithful conditional expectation given by restriction (see [10, p.104], [2, p.6]).

Choose $b \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})^+ \cap C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\|a - b\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Then $b_0 = P(b)$ satisfies $\|b_0\| > \frac{3}{4}$, and $b_1 = b - b_0$ has its compact support K contained in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Let $U := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} : b_0(\gamma) > \frac{3}{4}\}$. By [2, Lemma 2.3], there is an open subset V of U such that $r^{-1}(V) \cap s^{-1}(V) \cap K = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{G} has a basis of compact open sets, there is a nonempty compact open subset W of V ; let $f = \chi_W$. Since $(fb_1f)(\gamma) = f \circ r(\gamma)f \circ s(\gamma)b_1(\gamma)$, we see that $fbf = fb_0f$. Since f is a projection, we have $fbf = fb_0f \geq \frac{3}{4}f^2 = \frac{3}{4}f$, and so $faf \geq fbf - \frac{1}{4}f \geq \frac{1}{2}f$. It then follows that faf is invertible in fAf . We denote by c its inverse, and put $v := c^{1/2}fa^{1/2}$. We have $vv^* = f \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$, which in particular implies that v is a partial isometry; since $v^*v = a^{1/2}fca^{1/2} \leq \|c\|a$, it belongs to the hereditary subalgebra H generated by a , as required. \square

3.6 Corollary: *Let \mathcal{G} be an r -discrete essentially free groupoid such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ has a base of compact open sets. If $\pi : C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation, and π is faithful on $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ then π is faithful.*

Proof: If $\ker \pi \neq 0$, the Proposition gives a non-zero partial isometry $v \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ such that $v^*v \in \ker \pi$ and $vv^* \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. But $\pi(v^*v) = 0$ implies $\pi(vv^*) = 0$, which is impossible since π is faithful on $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. \square

3.7 Theorem: *Let E be a locally finite directed graph which has no sinks and satisfies condition (L). Suppose B is a C^* -algebra generated by a Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{S_e : e \in E^1\}$ with all S_e non-zero. Then there is an isomorphism π of $C^*(E)$ onto B such that $\pi(s_e) = S_e$.*

Proof: Because E is locally finite and has no sinks, [6, Theorem 4.2] says that $(C^*(E), s_e)$ is isomorphic to $(C^*(\mathcal{G}_E), 1_{Z(e, r(e))})$. Further, because \mathcal{G}_E is amenable [6, Corollary 5.5], we have $C^*(\mathcal{G}_E) \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$. The universal property of $C^*(E) \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ says there is a homomorphism π of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ onto B with $\pi(1_{Z(e, r(e))}) = S_e$, and we then have $\pi(1_{Z(\alpha, \beta)}) = S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ for all α, β ; in particular, $\pi(1_{Z(\alpha)}) = S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ for the projections $1_{Z(\alpha)}$ which span $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. For each n , the projections $\{1_{Z(\alpha)} : |\alpha| = n\}$ are mutually orthogonal, and span a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra A_n of $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. Similarly, the projections $S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ are mutually orthogonal, and because all the S_e are non-zero, all the $S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ are non-zero. Thus the representation π is faithful on A_n for each n . Since $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}) = \overline{\cup A_n}$, it follows from, for example, [1, Lemma 1.3] that π is faithful on $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. The result now follows from Corollary 3.6. \square

Following [2, Definition 2.1], we say that an r -discrete groupoid \mathcal{G} is *locally contracting* if for every non-empty open subset U of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, there are an open subset V of U and an open bisection B with $\overline{V} \subset s(B)$ and $\alpha_{B^{-1}}(\overline{V})$ a proper subset of V .

3.8 Lemma: *If every vertex in E connects to a vertex which has a return path with an exit, then the groupoid \mathcal{G}_E is locally contracting.*

Proof: If U is a non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)}$, then by definition of the topology of $\mathcal{G}_E^{(0)} = E^\infty$ [6, Corollary 2.2], there exists $\alpha \in E^*$ such that $Z(\alpha) \subset U$. By hypothesis there is a finite path β such that $s(\beta) = r(\alpha)$ and $r(\beta)$ has a return path κ with an exit. Then $\overline{Z(\alpha\beta)} = Z(\alpha\beta) = s(Z(\alpha\beta\kappa, \alpha\beta))$, and $\alpha_{Z(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\kappa)}(Z(\alpha\beta)) = Z(\alpha\beta\kappa)$; because κ has an exit, $Z(\alpha\beta\kappa)$ is a proper subset of $Z(\alpha\beta)$, and taking $V := Z(\alpha\beta)$, $B := Z(\alpha\beta\kappa, \alpha\beta)$ proves the result. \square

3.9 Theorem: *Let E be a locally finite directed graph with no sinks. Then $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite if and only if every vertex connects to a loop and E satisfies condition (L).*


Proof: Suppose first that E satisfies (L) and that every vertex connects to a loop. Then \mathcal{G}_E is essentially free by 3.4, and locally contracting by 3.8, so that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ is purely infinite by [2, Proposition 2.4]. Since \mathcal{G}_E is amenable, $C^*(E) = C^*(\mathcal{G}_E) = C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_E)$.

If E does not satisfy condition (L), then there is a loop without an exit, and the argument in the second last paragraph of the proof of 2.4 shows that

$C^*(E)$ has an ideal which is Morita equivalent to a commutative C^* -algebra, and is therefore not purely infinite.

Now suppose that E satisfies (L), and that there is a vertex $v \in E^0$ which does not connect to a loop. Let H be the subgraph of E formed by those vertices and edges which can be reached from v . Since H has no exits, $\{s_e : e \in H^1\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger H -family, and by 3.7 there is an isomorphism π of $C^*(H)$ onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{s_\alpha s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in H^*\}$, which is a hereditary subalgebra of $C^*(E)$ by 2.1. As H has no loops, we can deduce from 2.4 that $C^*(H)$ is AF. Hence $C^*(E)$ contains a hereditary subalgebra which is AF, and $C^*(E)$ cannot be purely infinite. \square

3.10 Example: Consider the following directed graph E :



Though E does not satisfy (I), E satisfies (L), and so $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite by 3.9. It is not simple — indeed, it has infinitely many ideals. Label the horizontal edges in E by $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. By removing one e_n we obtain two graphs R_n (the component to the right) and L_n (the component to the left). The arguments of [6, Theorem 6.6] show that there is an ideal J_n which is Morita equivalent to $C^*(R_n)$, and has quotient $C^*(E)/J_n$ isomorphic to $C^*(L_n)$. For $r \geq 1$, the ideals J_{n-r}/J_n form a composition series for $C^*(L_n)$, whose subquotients are Morita equivalent to $C(\mathbf{T})$. Hence $C^*(L_n)$ is a type I C^* -algebra. If E_n is the subgraph of E formed by adding e_n and $r(e_n)$ to L_n , then by 2.2 $C^*(E_n)$ is an extension of $C^*(L_n)$ by the compacts, and hence is also type I. Because E satisfies (L), we can use 3.7 to express $C^*(E)$ as the increasing union of $C^*(E_n)$, and deduce that $C^*(E)$ is an inductive limit of type I C^* -algebras.

We finish by formally stating our dichotomy:

3.11 Corollary: *Let E be a locally finite graph which has no sinks, is cofinal, and satisfies condition (L). Then $C^*(E)$ is simple, and*

- (i) *if E has no loops, then $C^*(E)$ is AF;*
- (ii) *if E has a loop, $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite.*

Proof: If E is cofinal and satisfies condition (L), then E satisfies condition (K) of [6, §6], and so $C^*(E)$ is simple by [6, Corollary 6.8]. Property (i) follows from 2.4. For (ii), note that by cofinality, every vertex connects to the loop. Thus the hypotheses of 3.9 are satisfied, and $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite. \square

References

- [1] S. Adji, M. Laca, M. Nilsen and I. Raeburn. Crossed products by semi-groups of endomorphisms and the Toeplitz algebras of ordered groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122**: 1133–1141, 1994.
- [2] C. Anantharaman–Delaroché. Purely infinite C^* -algebras arising from dynamical systems. Preprint, Université d’Orléans, 1996.
- [3] A. an Huef and I. Raeburn. The ideal structure of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys.*, **17** (1997), 611–624.
- [4] J. Cuntz. A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: Reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, **63**: 25–40, 1981.
- [5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger. A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains. *Invent. Math.*, **56**: 251–268, 1980.
- [6] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault. Graphs, groupoids and Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear.
- [7] M. Laca and J. Spielberg, Purely infinite C^* -algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups. *J. reine angew. Math.*, to appear.
- [8] M.H. Mann, I. Raeburn and C.E. Sutherland. Representations of finite groups and Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **46**: 225–243, 1992.
- [9] D. Pask and I. Raeburn. On the K -theory of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.*, **32**: 415–443, 1996.
- [10] J. Renault. A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. **793**. Springer-Verlag, 1980.

- [11] J. Renault. The ideal structure of groupoid crossed product C^* -algebras.
J. Operator Theory. **25**: 3–36, 1991.

E-MAIL: alex@unr.edu
davidp@maths.newcastle.edu.au
iain@maths.newcastle.edu.au