

## Some Issue in Opinion Polls

### Common Approach

- Telephone survey
- Use listed numbers or Random Digit Dialling (RDD)
- Sample sizes usually 1200 to 1600
- One person selected per selected household
- Independent sample each occasion
- Geographic coverage - national, state, specific electorates
- Sample weighting used by age and sex and ?

## **Sampling Frames Issues**

**Some surveys use the numbers listed in the electronic white pages (EWP) as the sampling frame.**

**This is convenient but will not represent households with unlisted numbers and those that have yet to be put on the EWP.**

**The proportion of households with unlisted telephone numbers is thought to be at least 15 percent in Australia.**

**For this reason some form of **Random Digit Dialling** (RDD) can be considered.**

## **ABS data on telephone connections for Queensland for October 2003:**

- **95% of households were connected**
- **17% were unlisted**
- **68% percent of people 18 or over had mobile telephones**
  - **this rate varied considerably by age**

**Provided people with mobile telephones can be contacted through a landline to the household in which they live, then the popularity of mobile telephones does not reduce the **coverage** of the EWP or RDD approaches.**

**The 2003 survey found only 3% people had a mobile telephone but no landline but this rate was almost 7% for people aged 18-29.**

**Over time the coverage of the population by traditional telephone sampling methods will decrease as more people only have a mobile.**

**This could happen quite quickly and is likely to affect some parts of the population more than others.**

**If the people who are not covered are different from those that are, then this can result in a bias in the estimate, which can be called **coverage bias**.**

## Margin of Error

Under some assumptions the **margin of error** due to sampling on an estimated proportion is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}$$

Where  $P$  is the proportion and  $n$  is the sample size.

**Example:**  $P=0.5$  and  $n=1600$  then we get 0.025 or 2.5%

$P=0.5$  and  $n=1200$  then we get 0.029 or 2.9%

**It is a simple exercise to show that for given  $n$  the margin of error is maximum when  $P=0.5$ .**

## Analysis of Estimated Lead

There is often focus on the ALP **lead**.

If  $P$  is the estimated ALP proportion on a 2 party preferred basis, then the estimated lead is

$$\text{Lead} = P - (1 - P) = 2P - 1$$

**Example:**  $P=0.55$  gives a lead of 0.1 or 10%

Because of the multiplication by 2 the margin of error on the estimated lead is twice that on the estimated proportion. So for  $n=1200$  the margin of error on the estimated lead will be 5%

## Combining Several Polls

One approach to reducing the margin of error is to **combine** the results of two or more polls. The sample sizes may differ. What is the best way to combine the estimates from two polls?

Consider the **combined estimate**

$$\hat{P} = w_1 P_1 + w_2 P_2$$

where the **weights**,  $w_1$ , and  $w_2$  have to be chosen.

**Assuming each estimate is unbiased we need**

$$w_1 + w_2 = 1 \text{ so } w_2 = 1 - w_1$$

**Thus we can write**

$$\hat{P} = wP_1 + (1 - w)P_2$$

**We want to **minimise** the **variance** of the estimator, which determines the margin of error:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{P}) &= w^2 \text{Var}(P_1) + (1 - w)^2 \text{Var}(P_2) \\ &= w^2 \frac{P(1 - P)}{n_1} + (1 - w)^2 \frac{P(1 - P)}{n_2} \end{aligned}$$

**To find the minimum, differentiate with respect to  $w$  and set to zero, giving**

$$2P(1-P) \left[ \frac{w}{n_1} - \frac{1-w}{n_2} \right] = 0$$

**Some rearrangement gives**

$$w = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2}$$

**Therefore, we should use**

$$\hat{P} = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} P_1 + \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} P_2$$

So each survey should be given a weight **proportional to its sample size**. This result be generalised to combining more than two polls.

If there is a **trend** in the proportions over time then more sophisticated time series methods can be used to combined different polls over a period of time.