

2008 LEADERSHIP WORKSHOP; PARTICIPANT EVALUATION SUMMARY

APA citation for this resource: University of Wollongong. (2008). *Distributive Leadership Project: Leadership retreat 2008 facilitator and past scholar evaluation summary*. Retrieved <Insert Date>, from <http://www.uow.edu.au/cedir/DistributiveLeadership/>.

16th - 17th June, 2008; Stamford Grand, Glenelg South Australia

Part A:

QUESTION 1: (N=12)	YES	NO
I had sufficient opportunity to share my views?	12 (100%)	
If not how might this have been better managed?		
QUESTION 2: (N=12); I respondent did not answer this question	YES	NO
I was engaged in the planning process? (N=12)	11 (92%)	
If not how might this have been better managed?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ I had opportunity but have not spent time on the agenda apart from group discussions at the University 		
QUESTION 3: (N=12); I respondent did not answer this question	YES	NO
I have a clear understanding of my role in the roundtable?	11 (92%)	
If not, what are you still unsure about?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Further clarification will develop ... ○ I think I will be doing quite a bit! (Not a bad thing though!) 		
QUESTION 4: (N=12)	YES	NO
I have a clear understanding of what I have to do in preparation for the roundtable?	12 (100%)	
If not, what are you still unsure about?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Please send a task list, deadlines etc ... Thanks ○ But other things will crop up, am sure 		
QUESTION 5: (N=12)	YES	NO
I felt there was sufficient time to prepare for and plan the Roundtable?	12 (100%)	
If not what do you think required more time or how might you have used extra time if it was available?		

2008 LEADERSHIP WORKSHOP; PARTICIPANT EVALUATION SUMMARY

APA citation for this resource: University of Wollongong. (2008). *Distributive Leadership Project: Leadership retreat 2008 facilitator and past scholar evaluation summary*. Retrieved <Insert Date>, from <http://www.uow.edu.au/cedir/DistributiveLeadership/>.

Part B:

World Café Session Day 1; 2:00 - 5:00pm:

What aspects of this session did you find most useful/interesting?

- Great discussion.
- Also did get a good idea of how a world café works.
- Personal reflection at the end was very useful.
- The world café experience and understanding the concept and how it could be used.
- The modelling of a new format for discussion
- Accessible way to discuss issues.
- Made you listen to others – enable one to collect various views.
- Chance to experiment with this process, in casual format.
- Clear leadership provided by Glyn.
- This was very well prepared and run.
- Hearing others perspectives.
- The process itself.
- The process getting it to work and important role of facilitator.
- Great concept.
- Experiencing the idea for myself.

Were there aspects of this session that you felt could have been improved? Can you suggest how these aspects might have been improved?

- Worst thing was I was late – this was entirely my fault!
- No it went well.
- Too long make it shorter and more pointed.
- Maybe worthwhile thinking about how we could use it?
- Faster rotations.

Do you have any suggestions, recommendations or comments to make regarding this session?

- Glyn was a terrific facilitator – which is very important.
- Really really interesting and worthwhile.
- Too long make it shorter and more pointed.
- Needs to be faster – seemed too long.

2008 LEADERSHIP WORKSHOP; PARTICIPANT EVALUATION SUMMARY

APA citation for this resource: University of Wollongong. (2008). *Distributive Leadership Project: Leadership retreat 2008 facilitator and past scholar evaluation summary*. Retrieved <Insert Date>, from <http://www.uow.edu.au/cedir/DistributiveLeadership/>.

Emotional Intelligence in the implementation of the Faculty-Based Projects Session Day 2; 9:00 - 11:00am:

What aspects of this session did you find most useful/interesting?

- Background literature and models.
- Distinction between domains of emotional intelligence.
- Explaining different domains of emotional intelligence.
- All was useful and interesting
- Applying the ideas to my project and the particular problems/ issues
- Opportunity for input
- Useful interactive discussion
- I really liked the discussions and it was great time was allowed for this
- All
- Refocussing on emotional intelligence, shared experiences.
- Aspects of different models of emotional intelligence.
- Reminder of the need for emotional intelligence to be reflected on.

Were there aspects of this session that you felt could have been improved? Can you suggest how these aspects might have been improved?

- And in a more structured exercise in self-reflection?
- Making clearer which slide Dom up to.
- Focus on institutional change.
- An actual activity might have been useful.

Do you have any suggestions, recommendations or comments to make regarding this session?

- Allow a little time for personal reflection and notes to self ...
- Maybe add a warning for those who are feeling emotionally fragile!
- Very timely! Important to keep considering emotional intelligence.
- Role Plays?

2008 LEADERSHIP WORKSHOP; PARTICIPANT EVALUATION SUMMARY

APA citation for this resource: University of Wollongong. (2008). *Distributive Leadership Project: Leadership retreat 2008 facilitator and past scholar evaluation summary*. Retrieved <Insert Date>, from <http://www.uow.edu.au/cedir/DistributiveLeadership/>.

Part C:

1. What aspects of the workshop did you find most useful?

- Planning sessions.
 - Catching up with everyone.
 - Catching up with Scholars again – So important to re-orientate ourselves.
 - Meeting again talking through the issue.
 - Talking to other faculty Scholars.
 - Planning – networking.
 - Meeting other Scholars again.
 - Working together for roundtable organisation.
 - Received some more clarity about purposed of project and roundtable.
 - Chance to reconnect.
 - Clarification and planning re roundtable.
 - Sharing of project updates in casual discussions.
 - World Café – in depth discussion.
 - Planning for roundtable – working through different perspectives.
 - Great to catch up with Scholars again and to work through the roundtable planning, organisation face-to-face.
 - It is very helpful to exchange views with this group.
 - Roundtable planning was crucial as the email/video-conferencing did not allow us to reach a consensus – it could generate a list but not a decision.
-

2. Were there aspects of the workshop that could have been improved?

- Long afternoon for the organising.
 - Day 2 could have been more structured.
 - No I think it was appropriately organised and managed.
 - It was frustrating to feel that progress was slow but – it probably needs to be so!
 - Not really.
 - All good.
-

3. Do you have any suggestions, recommendations or comments for how the workshop could be improved?

- Holly's absence. It's not the same without her being here!
- No, everything was fine.
- Include a little more time for self-reflection in activities.

2008 LEADERSHIP WORKSHOP; PARTICIPANT EVALUATION SUMMARY

APA citation for this resource: University of Wollongong. (2008). *Distributive Leadership Project: Leadership retreat 2008 facilitator and past scholar evaluation summary*. Retrieved <Insert Date>, from <http://www.uow.edu.au/cedir/DistributiveLeadership/>.

- **Do you have any other comments or statements you would like to make?**
- Enjoyed the 1½ days.
- Thank you for a marvellous opportunity for growth!
- It's a fabulous opportunity.
- I'm glad that we met to have this workshop!
- I have found the whole process incredibly interesting and useful.
- I had requested wheat-free food options? Perhaps this was missed? This was minimally the case.
- In terms of venue – poor that they allowed another group to congregate for their “piss-up” on the veranda – right outside the room.
- Very well organised, good people, good will.
- Really boosts energy for project!